

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/507,243	COLAS ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Christopher Chan	2146

All Participants:

Status of Application: 71

(1) Christopher Chan. (3) _____.

(2) Kenneth M. Berner. (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 28 November 2007

Time: 3:00PM

Type of Interview:

- Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

None

Claims discussed:

2-10

Prior art documents discussed:

None

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

JEFFREY PWU
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner discussed with Applicant's Attorney on necessary amendments to claims that would put claims into a condition for allowance. Examiner also further requested that the amendments to the claims are reflected upon the Summary of Invention. Agreement was made such that Applicant's Attorney is to send in marked up amendments based on agreed changes to be entered as an Examiner's amendment for allowance.